Applicant: Stewart Shuman, et al.

Serial No.: 10/666,486 Filed: September 19, 2003

Page 2

REMARKS

Restriction Requirement

In the July 11, 2006 Office Action, the Examiner restricted pending claims 1-79 to one of the following allegedly distinct inventions under 35 U.S.C. §121 as follows:

- I. Claims 1-25 and 44, drawn to a method of covalently joining a DNA strand to an RNA strand and a DNA-RNA molecule, classified in class 702, subclass 19;
- II. Claims 26-43, drawn to a method of tagging a 5' end of a DNA-RNA molecule, classified in class 702, subclass 19; and
- III. Claims 45-79, drawn to a method of obtaining fulllength gene sequences, classified in class 702, subclass 19.

In response, applicants hereby elect Group III, i.e., claims 45-79, with traverse for prosecution at this time. Applicants further note that claims 45-79 encompass the elected invention.

Applicants, however, respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw the restriction requirement.

Under M.P.E.P. §803, the Examiner must examine the application on the merits if examination can be made without serious burden, even if the application would include claims to distinct or independent inventions. That is, there are two

Applicant: Stewart Shuman, et al.

Serial No.: 10/666,486 Filed: September 19, 2003

Page 3

criteria for a proper requirement for restriction: (1) the invention must be independent and distinct, and (2) there must be a serious burden on the Examiner if restriction were not required.

Applicants respectfully submit that there would not be a serious burden on the Examiner if restriction were not required, because a search of the prior art relevant to the claims of Group III would provide the relevant prior art for Groups I and II. Since there is no burden on the Examiner to examine Groups I-III together in the same application, the Examiner must examine the entire application on the merits.

In view of the foregoing, applicants maintain that restriction is not proper under 35 U.S.C. §121, and respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw the requirement for restriction.

Applicant: Stewart Shuman, et al.

Serial No.: 10/666,486 Filed: September 19, 2003

Page 4

No fee, other than the \$60.00 fee for a one-month extension of time, is deemed necessary in connection with the filing of this Communication. However, if any additional fee required, authorization is hereby given to charge the amount of such fee to Deposit Account No. 03-3125.

Respectfully submitted,

this

correspondence is being deposited this date with the U.S. Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope

certify

that

8/25

Date

addressed to.
Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents P.O. 80x 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Alan J. Morrison

hereby

Reg. No. 37,399

John P. White Registration No. 28,678 Alan J. Morrison Registration No. 37,399 Attorneys for Applicants Cooper & Dunham LLP

1185 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10036 (212) 278-0400